FILE Training 6

3 DEC 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Training Selection Board

SUBJECT

: Nominees for the Midcareer Executive

Development Course No. 8

\circ	$\Gamma \Lambda$	т

1. Support Directorate nominees for the Midcareer Executive Development Course No. 8 are:

2. Biographic Profiles, Fitness Reports and five-year Career Plans are attached.

genung A. L. Rangarman

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

Atts: Asstated

TO+DD/STR: jbb (3 Dec 65)

Distribution:

O & 1 - Adse w/6 copies of atts (listed in para 2)

1 - DD/S chrono

□ DD/S subject

1 - DD/S TO

1-D/ Pera (Therme)

3 December 1965

Mr. Bannarman via Mr. Warfield via Mr. Vance

Attached is a memorandum to OTR which forwards the Support Directorate nominees for the Midcareer Executive Development Course No. 8 which begins 9 January.

Mr. Echols has reviewed the nominations and is prepared to defend them. He also is contacting each Support Office to determine priorities since we have only eight slots for our thirteen nominees.

Biographic Profiles and related material are available, should you wish to review.

Recommend your signature.

HLB

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 3 Dec	65	
TO: Acting Exec Officer/DDS				
ROOM NO. BUILDING				
NOOM NO.	BUILDING			
REMARKS:	<u></u>			
Bill - We'n				
attachments to Mr. Warfield's memo to				
o he could proceed with				
preparing transmittal memo to OTR.				
Bertha				
			į	
			4	
FROM: EA/DPers				
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENSION	
FORM NO . 241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED		-O-439445 (47)	

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT : Nominees for the Midcareer Executive

Development Course No. 8

REFERENCE: Memo to D/Pers fr Acting DDS, dtd 26 Nov 65,

same subj

- l. As requested in your memorandum of 26 November, I have reviewed the official records on the eleven principal and three alternate nominees of the DD/S for the Midcareer Course No. 8. In addition, I have checked our "significant information files" and with the Office of Security to ensure there is no derogatory information which might raise doubts as to the suitability of the individuals to be midcareerists. I find no reason to question the suitability of these individuals.
- 2. I do anticipate the usual difficulty with the Selection Board with respect to the significance of the lack of college education on the part of I also anticipate skepticism that I is a suitable candidate in view of his age (45) and his rather narrow career and slow development in the Agency.
- 3. In summation, however, I believe that there is a sound case to be made for each of these individuals, and I will be prepared to argue the case with the Board after I develop a little more argumentation on the questionable cases.
- 4. With eleven principal nominees against a general allocation of eight slots for the DD/S we must expect to lose out on at least three of our principals. In order that we may get the widest distribution of selectees among the Support Offices I propose to contact the Directors of Communications, Finance, and Logistics to determine which of their two each nominees is their preference. I can then influence the Board's selections so that the three in excess of quota are the three second priority nominees.

Emmett D. Echols
 Director of Personnel

25X1 25X1

25X1